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The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students to the level of performance goals established under the California Academic Performance Index. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the School and Library Improvement Block Grant, the Pupil Retention Block Grant, the Consolidated Application, and NCLB Program Improvement into the Single Plan for Student Achievement.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Sarah Fountain
Position:
Telephone Number:
Principal
707.792.4531

Address:

E-mail Address:
1400 Magnolia Avenue
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
sarah_fountain@crpusd.org

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the School Plan .

## Part One: Analysis of Verifiable State Data, including the API, AYP, and the California English Language Development Test

The purpose of this section is to gather data about your school right now. You then use that information to determine goals and actions. You can include a school profile which gives background about your school and provides context for the plan.

## The School Profile

Monte Vista staff, students, and families are a community of learners committed to working together to provide a positive, safe, engaging learning environment in which each student achieves high academic and behavioral expectations and acquires and uses thinking skills to become a contributing member of society.

Just as our mascot, the mountain lion, is proud and purposeful, our staff, students, and families move forward with pride, confidence, and optimism to achieve excellence. Monte Vista is exceptionally proud of the progress made this year, with an 813 API score. To support our population of 575 students, staff has developed effective systems that support student achievement in standards based content, learning behaviors, and use of higher level thinking skills in real world applications.
Monte Vista develops our instructional program and school wide improvement efforts addressing the following:

- What are students expected to know and learn?
- What are the expected levels of performance and how will students demonstrate learning?
- What will we, the staff, do to ensure student success?

Monte Vista offers an instructional program designed to maximize student achievement. Through on-going professional development and collaborative work, teachers have knowledge and understanding of the content standards and expected performance levels and share this information with parents and students. It is our goal that all students understand what the standards are and how to move toward proficient and beyond in English language arts, and math and meet grade level standards in science and history/social science.
Instruction is carefully and thoughtfully planned, using assessment data from a variety of sources. STAR data and local standards based tools provide specific information on student performance. Annual assessment provides programmatic and individual data. More immediate data is gathered from regularly scheduled assessments including district and school-based trimester assessments and daily and weekly classroom measures. Analysis of data identifies areas for improvement and successes for continuation.
Grade level teams design year-long curriculum maps to assure balance and coverage of essential content standards and learning behaviors. Year-long improvement goals and shorter term trimester goals direct units and daily lessons. Grade level teams meet throughout the year and during the summer to analyze student work and plan instruction. Cross-grade level teams have identified research-based instructional strategies in ELA and math which are being implemented throughout the grades. Professional development promotes continuous individual and team learning focused on standards and identified student needs.
The results of our comprehensive work can be seen in any classroom. Teachers are passionate about students' learning; they utilize their knowledge of curriculum, assessment, and instruction to provide an engaging learning environment

## Data Collection and Analysis

Review your student achievement data, and other sources of information regarding current conditions. This step is IMPORTANT! Review data with key stakeholders including teachers, Leadership Team, Site Council, ELAC, etc. Your goals and actions should be based on an analysis of student achievement data.

For the plan, provide charts of student achievement provided by Key Data Systems and Illuminate from the CST, CELDT, CAHSEE (as appropriate).

Table 1: Academic Performance Index by Student Group

| PROFICIENCY LEVEL | API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  | White |  |  | African-American |  |  | Asian |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Number Included | 435 | 451 | 415 | 233 | 239 | 221 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 28 | 22 | 17 |
| Growth API | 830 | 820 | 813 | 824 | 835 | 831 |  |  |  | 928 | 934 | 929 |
| Base API | 821 | 831 | 819 | 818 | 824 | 834 |  |  |  | 930 | 928 | 934 |
| Target | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth | 9 | -11 | -6 | 6 | 11 | -3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Met Target | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PROFICIENCY LEVEL | API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic |  |  | English Learners |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Number Included | 120 | 139 | 134 | 93 | 98 | 99 | 149 | 169 | 199 | 64 | 55 | 48 |
| Growth API | 804 | 769 | 762 | 800 | 791 | 758 | 779 | 760 | 758 | 630 | 614 | 647 |
| Base API | 790 | 808 | 769 | 782 | 802 | 790 | 744 | 782 | 758 | 576 | 633 | 614 |
| Target | 5 | A | 5 | 5 | A | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Growth | 14 | -39 | -7 | 18 | -11 | -32 | 35 | -22 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Met Target | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No |  |  |  |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 2 - Title III Accountability (School Data)

| AMAO 1 | Annual Growth |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3 ~}$ |
| Number of Annual Testers |  |  | 102 |
| Percent with Prior Year Data |  |  | $100.0 \%$ |
| Number in Cohort |  |  | 102 |
| Number Met |  |  | 63.0 |
| Percent Met | 54.6 |  | $61.8 \%$ |
| NCLB Target |  |  | 57.5 |
| Met Target |  |  | Yes |


| AMAO 2 | Attaining English Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 |  | 2011-12 |  | 2012-13 |  |
|  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  |
|  | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More |
| Number in Cohort |  |  |  |  | 109 | 27 |
| Number Met |  |  |  |  | 28 | -- |
| Percent Met |  |  |  |  | 25.7\% | -- |
| NCLB Target | 18.7 | 43.2 | 20.1 | 45.1 | 21.4 | 47.0 |
| Met Target |  |  |  |  | Yes | * |


| AMAO 3 | Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| English-Language Arts |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | Yes | No | No |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | Yes | Yes | No |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 3 - Title III Accountability (District Data)

| AMAO 1 | Annual Growth |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| Number of Annual Testers | 905 | 900 | 867 |
| Percent with Prior Year Data | 99.9 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
| Number in Cohort | 904 | 893 | 867 |
| Number Met | 549 | 561 | 491 |
| Percent Met | 60.7 | 62.8 | 56.6 |
| NCLB Target | 54.6 | 56.0 | 57.5 |
| Met Target | Yes | Yes | No |


| AMAO 2 | Attaining English Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 |  | 2011-12 |  | 2012-13 |  |
|  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  |
|  | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More |
| Number in Cohort | 681 | 399 | 684 | 395 | 724 | 384 |
| Number Met | 157 | 225 | 169 | 213 | 162 | 191 |
| Percent Met | 23.1 | 56.4 | 24.7 | 53.9 | 22.4 | 49.7 |
| NCLB Target | 18.7 | 43.2 | 20.1 | 45.1 | 21.4 | 47.0 |
| Met Target | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| AMAO 3 | Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| English-Language Arts |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | No | No |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | No | No |
| Met Target for AMAO 3 | No | No | No |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 4: English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  | White |  |  | African-American |  |  | Asian |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | 99 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 263 | 266 | 228 | 140 | 154 | 133 | -- | -- |  | 23 | 18 | 13 |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 60.5 | 59.1 | 55.5 | 60.1 | 64.7 | 60.7 | -- | -- | -- | 82.1 | 81.8 | 76.5 |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |


| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic |  |  | English Learners |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | 98 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 96 | 99 | 94 |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 65 | 63 | 59 | 48 | 46 | 42 | 71 | 72 | 87 | 19 | 12 | 14 |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 54.2 | 45.3 | 44.7 | 51.6 | 46.9 | 43.3 | 47.7 | 42.6 | 44.4 | 29.7 | 22.2 | 31.1 |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | -- | -- | -- |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 5: Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  | White |  |  | African-American |  |  | Asian |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 285 | 287 | 264 | 151 | 154 | 149 | -- | -- |  | 25 | 21 | 14 |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 65.5 | 63.8 | 63.6 | 64.8 | 64.4 | 67.4 | -- | -- | -- | 89.3 | 95.5 | 82.4 |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |


| AYPPROFICIENCY LEVEL | MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic |  |  | English Learners |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | 98 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 96 | 99 | 100 |
| Number At or Above Proficient | 67 | 77 | 72 | 56 | 60 | 53 | 80 | 82 | 97 | 23 | 22 | 22 |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | 55.8 | 55.4 | 53.7 | 60.2 | 61.2 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 48.5 | 48.7 | 35.9 | 40.7 | 45.8 |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 |
| Met AYP Criteria | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | -- | -- | -- |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 6: California English Language Development (CELDT Annual Assessment) Data

| Grade | 2012-13 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advanced |  | Early Advanced |  | Intermediate |  | Early Intermediate |  | Beginning |  | Number Tested |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |

## School and Student Performance Data

Table 7: California English Language Development (CELDT All Assessment) Data

| Grade | 2012-13 CELDT (All Assessment) Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advanced |  | Early Advanced |  | Intermediate |  | Early Intermediate |  | Beginning |  | Number Tested |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Plans must include analysis of school progress on the AYP and AMAOs for Title III.
You can include other district/school assessment data.
You can include student attendance and discipline data
You can include a summary of your Healthy Kids Survey
Provide a brief, written analysis based on the data you provided.
You can provide a brief summary of strengths and gaps in performance in ELA and math for the school as a whole and for any significant trends identified for grade levels or subgroups. This can be based on discussion with stakeholders regarding data analysis.
While our overall API score, and the scores of some subgroups, such as White and Asian, are over 800, we have subgroups that are not reaching set goals. Our lowest subgroup is SWD, API 647; however, this subgroup made 33 point gain from 2012.

## Include a brief summary analysis statement.

This statement summarizes the conclusions reached about student performance.
The growth in our SWD subgroup indicate that we are being successful in moving students within this group toward proficiency. An area we need to examine is the performance on the CST for our EL students.

## California Standards Test

All Students
English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 44 | 51 | 51 | 28 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 15 |
| Grade 3 | 65 | 47 | 35 | 22 | 36 | 42 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
| Grade 4 | 80 | 75 | 61 | 15 | 21 | 23 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grade 5 | 64 | 71 | 73 | 30 | 24 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Grade 6 | 56 | 57 | 77 | 32 | 33 | 18 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All Students
Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 65 | 52 | 57 | 17 | 25 | 23 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Grade 3 | 82 | 78 | 66 | 12 | 14 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Grade 4 | 82 | 76 | 66 | 12 | 15 | 25 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Grade 5 | 59 | 63 | 71 | 29 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Grade 6 | 45 | 55 | 53 | 36 | 30 | 38 | 18 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## African American Students

## English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| Grade 3 |  | * | * |  | * | * |
| Grade 4 | * |  | * | * |  | * |
| Grade 5 |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Grade 6 | * |  | * | * |  | * |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## African American Students

Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | * | * |  | * | * |  |
| Grade 3 |  | * | * |  | * | * |
| Grade 4 | * |  | * | * |  | * |
| Grade 5 |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Grade 6 | * |  | * | * |  | * |
| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## Asian Students

English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Asian Students <br> Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## Hispanic/Latino Students

English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 32 | 41 | 41 | 322.2 | 333.1 | 329.5 |
| Grade 3 | 46 | 25 | 25 | 345.3 | 316.9 | 324.9 |
| Grade 4 | 79 | 58 | 40 | 384.1 | 369.6 | 336.3 |
| Grade 5 | 59 | 53 | 61 | 357.1 | 353.8 | 373.2 |
| Grade 6 | 50 | 45 | * | 351.2 | 346.1 | * |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hispanic/Latino Students <br> Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 48 | 53 | 50 | 338.1 | 342.7 | 339.4 |
| Grade 3 | 60 | 67 | 58 | 383.6 | 359.3 | 369.9 |
| Grade 4 | 87 | 69 | 47 | 384.9 | 375.9 | 347.9 |
| Grade 5 | 46 | 50 | 64 | 352.3 | 345.5 | 392.3 |
| Grade 6 | 33 | 42 | * | 340.7 | 344.3 | * |
| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level <br> General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

White Students
English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 50 | 59 | 58 | 342.4 | 343.1 | 362.6 |
| Grade 3 | 71 | 55 | 42 | 375.0 | 354.0 | 348.0 |
| Grade 4 | 76 | 81 | 71 | 378.7 | 398.1 | 382.4 |
| Grade 5 | 61 | 78 | 78 | 368.8 | 379.6 | 382.6 |
| Grade 6 | 56 | 61 | 74 | 358.8 | 366.0 | 368.1 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

White Students Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 68 | 52 | 62 | 369.4 | 354.9 | 377.6 |
| Grade 3 | 90 | 80 | 71 | 427.2 | 410.0 | 399.7 |
| Grade 4 | 72 | 77 | 76 | 391.3 | 412.6 | 396.6 |
| Grade 5 | 58 | 62 | 71 | 378.3 | 377.5 | 407.5 |
| Grade 6 | 46 | 59 | 50 | 358.2 | 364.3 | 350.4 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2012-13 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## English Learner Students

English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 39 | 32 | 28 | 333.8 | 333.0 | 312.0 |
| Grade 3 | 38 | 27 | 18 | 323.4 | 322.4 | 323.9 |
| Grade 4 | * | 50 | 41 | * | 355.5 | 334.3 |
| Grade 5 | * | 33 | 33 | * | 338.9 | 337.3 |
| Grade 6 | * | 15 | * | * | 317.2 | * |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English Learner Students <br> Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 61 | 63 | 36 | 358.2 | 354.8 | 322.0 |
| Grade 3 | 59 | 80 | 59 | 370.5 | 385.1 | 373.7 |
| Grade 4 | * | 71 | 41 | * | 365.9 | 344.0 |
| Grade 5 | * | 33 | 47 | * | 327.3 | 347.6 |
| Grade 6 | * | 31 | * | * | 320.1 | * |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students

## English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 26 | 33 | 41 | 316.3 | 320.3 | 334.3 |
| Grade 3 | 61 | 23 | 20 | 357.7 | 322.0 | 319.2 |
| Grade 4 | 72 | 52 | 43 | 372.5 | 368.9 | 341.6 |
| Grade 5 | 50 | 61 | 57 | 356.1 | 364.5 | 365.0 |
| Grade 6 | 35 | 51 | 88 | 342.8 | 353.0 | 374.3 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students

 Mathematics| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 2 | 50 | 40 | 39 | 346.3 | 318.4 | 332.1 |
| Grade 3 | 71 | 55 | 49 | 387.0 | 358.1 | 365.0 |
| Grade 4 | 80 | 56 | 43 | 372.2 | 363.6 | 351.6 |
| Grade 5 | 35 | 53 | 53 | 348.1 | 353.6 | 369.6 |
| Grade 6 | 38 | 49 | 56 | 339.8 | 351.0 | 352.9 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Part Two: Addressing the Performance Gaps

The purpose of this section is to set priorities and specific goals. Your priorities are based upon the synthesis you developed above. Your goals flow from these priorities.

Note: CA regulations state that the SPSA must address how funds will be used to "improve the academic performance of all students to the level of the performance goals, as established by the API." Also, regulations state that "The SPSA must align with the local educational agency (LEA)."

## Select Priorities

Based upon analysis of data, prior school goals and district goals, set priorities or focus areas for your plan.
Based upon analysis of data, prior school and district goals, our priorities for the coming year focus on the academic gains of our EL students and students with disabilities.

## Write/Revise School SMART Goals

School goals flow from your priorities and should be attainable in the period specified in the plan, specific to the student participants and measurable. Goals should be listed in SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely) format but also align to the outcomes expected under the state and federal accountability model.
All students will demonstrate growth in Language Arts (reading and writing) and math annually. The goal for students to reach proficient and above is detailed as SMART goals. These goals identify the growth necessary to achieve API and AYP. We will not have CST results to use for a measure in 2014, so we will be looking at ELA results as measured in DIBELS and CORE Phonics Survey. In Mathematics, we will use curriculum based assessments in EveryDay Math to measure progress.

## Part Three: Analysis of Proven or Promising Strategies

While the justification for your activities need not be written into your plan, best, evidence-based practices should be reflected in your action plan and activities you pursue. Once a goal for student achievement has been identified, the leadership team needs to determine how to reach that goal. Choose specific strategies that are likely to work and align to the district's Local Education Agency Plan as well. Be deliberate in what strategies you choose. Consider:

- Did it work for a similar school?
- When do you expect to see results?
- Can you explain why you expect it to work?
- What will you do to ensure that it works?
- At what point will you determine it isn't working and stop doing it?
- Identify current successful practices in the school and district by looking at data, talking to colleagues, and seeking input from such professionals as curriculum specialists.

| Resource | Web Address |
| :--- | :--- |
| Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) | http://www.ascd.org/portal/site/ascd/index.jsp/ |
| Consortium for Policy Research in Education (CPRE) | http://www.cpre.org/ |
| ED.gov | http://www.ed.gov/help/site/expsearch/index.html?src=In |
| Education Commission of the States | http://www.ecs.org/default.asp |
| Educational Resource Information Center | http://www.eric.ed.gov/ |
| Healthy Kids Resource Center | http://www.californiahealthykids.org/c/@U82gtJCqJSte6/Pages <br> /index.html |
| Just for the Kids - California | http://www.just4kids.org/bestpractice/study_framework.cfm?s <br> ub=state\&study=californiaa |
| Just for the Kids - California School Data | http://www.jftk-ca.org/ |
| National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) | http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/ |
| School Matters A Service of Standard \& Poors | http://www.schoolmatters.com/ |
| What Works Clearinghouse | http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/ |

## Part Four: Complete Action Plans for each SMART Goal

Use the attached templates to complete your action plans.

## SMART Goal 1

## Monte Vista Elementary School <br> Plan on a Page <br> Student Achievement in Reading Language Arts

2013-2014

## SMART Goal:

All students will demonstrate growth in Language Arts (reading and writing). The goal for students to reach proficient and above is detailed below. These goals identify the growth necessary to achieve API and AYP. Students at Monte Vista will make adequate progress for the 2013-2014 school year. 75\% of all students will reach proficiency in Language Arts. We will not have CST results to use for a measure in 2014, so we will be looking at ELA results as measured in DIBELS and CORE Phonics Survey.

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching and Learning <br> 1. Reading instruction will include a balance of skills/concepts and comprehension. Higher level thinking will be an integral part of comprehension across all grade levels (using the cognitive taxonomy). <br> Houghton Mifflin Reading will be used as the core resource for reading instruction. <br> 2. Teachers use effective teaching strategies to improve student learning, for example: KAM strategies; Fetzer Writing and Comprehension strategies; and Thinking Maps. <br> 2. Instructional strategies taught across the grade levels will include prediction, questioning, clarifying, summarizing, evaluating, and use of decoding skills. <br> 3. a.Common agreed upon procedural vocabulary for teaching these strategies will be used consistently in all curricular areas. <br> b.An academic vocabulary program designed to increase student comprehension will be an integral part of the instructional program across the grade levels <br> c. Application of learning for standards will be integrated in art, music, PE. <br> 4. Updated computer technology and software is available for lab and classroom use to support instruction across the curriculum including language arts practice (Lexia \& Criterion) <br> 5. Field Studies, speakers, and authors will enable students to extend classroom learning by relating and applying what they learn in the classroom to the real world. <br> 6. School wide and in grade level teams, intervention strategies will be identified and used to support student achievement. | Student performance on District Benchmark Assessments, DIBELS (screenings and progress monitoring), results on common grade-level assessments, Lexia, and curriculum based measurements. | Illuminate, DIBELS, Professional Tuesdays, Lexia, document cameras and projectors in classrooms, tablet computers. | District funds, Measure D, donations |

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

1. Flexible groupings of students for targeted instruction: Walk to Read and Teir II intervention
2. ELD student support in the classroom; classroom teachers will utilize SDAIE strategies to help ELD learners access the curriculum.
3. RSP services for identified students will support students accessing the core curriculum and learning strategies to be independently successful.
4. EL assistant will work with principal to coordinate CELDT testing and management of program requirements. EL assistant will work with identified EL students in the classroom providing instructional support under the direction of the teacher.

All students have access to Language Arts core curriculum and instruction. Reading and writing activities are integrated into various content areas.

EL assistant 15 hours a $\quad$ District Funds, week, Lexia PTA funds

Staffing and Professional Development:
1.Grade level PLC meetings will be held throughout the year to plan instruction and evaluate student progress
2. PLC meetings will be structured for year long professional development of effective instructional practice using Gradual Release of Responsibility model. This framework will guide teachers instruction with Focus Lessons, Productive Group Work, and Guided Instruction. Teachers will practice strategies, observe each other, gather data on effect on student performance.
3.Staff will have opportunities to be trained in use of computers and other technology that supports student learning and management of instructional data.
4.Staff will have opportunities to attend workshops and conferences that support improving reading instruction, writing, math, and thinking skills.
Focus areas will be:

- Organized Student Thinking
- Write From The Beginning
- using Nancy Fetzer's strategies in writing
- academic vocabulary
- supporting special needs students including ELD
- effectively analyzing student data/work and using information to plan instruction
- assessment
- learning behaviors and impact on learning
- integrating the arts, social studies, science with language arts
- district sponsored training

5. Training in learning the new Common Core standards and in teaching strategies used in The Single Plan for Student Ac̈hievement

PLC protocols and minutes

Staff training in
Illuminate, Lexia,
computers, and DIBELS
District funding, Measure D,
Donations

Involvement of staff, parents and community:

1. Goal Setting conferences are held in the fall. Parents, students, and the teacher will develop goals with the student. A goals form will be printed for this conference.
2. Spring student led conferences are held in May and June. Students share their progress in reaching their goals and other academic achievements.
3. District Report Cards based on achieving grade level standards are used to communicate student progress
4.Homework expectations are shared and reviewed with parents at BTSN and during the year. Regular homework is intended to provide students with extra practice and parents with information and examples of what students are learning.
4. STAR assessment data is mailed to families in August. School wide data is shared at SSC and PTA presentations. Individual conferences are available at anytime to discuss test results.

- Grade level expectations are shared with parents at Back To School Night, through classroom newsletters and other communications, and conferences.
- Conferences between
teacher/parents/students will be available as needed.
- The School and PTA newsletter is sent home 4-6 times a year.
- Monthly PTA and SSC meetings provide opportunities to learn about school programs, achievement progress, and participate in improving the school community.
- School wide events designed to build a strong school community are held throughout the year.

Meeting minutes, school site survey results

PTA; staff
District funded, donations

Governance and Administration

1. School Site Council meets each trimester to monitor and review school improvement programs, develop goals, and approve budgets. The committee membership is 5 parents representative and 5 school site representatives. Bylaws identify the rules and procedures used by SSC
2. Staff provides input through surveys and SSC representatives in the monitoring and adjusting of school improvement plans each spring.
3. SSC conducts parent surveys every two years for the purpose of gathering input for program revisions.
4. Annual evaluation of program effectiveness is used to plan the next year's improvement program.

## SMART Goal 2

## Monte Vista Elementary School <br> Plan on a Page <br> Student Achievement in Mathematics <br> 2013-2014

## SMART Goal:

All students will demonstrate growth in Mathematics. The goal for students to reach proficient and above is detailed below. These goals identify the growth necessary to achieve API and AYP. Students at Monte Vista will make adequate progress for the 2013-2014 school year. 75\% of all students will reach proficiency in Math. We will not have CST results to use for a measure in 2014, so we will be looking at ELA results as measured in using curriculum based assessments in EveryDay Math.

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teaching and Learning | Student achievement | EverDay math curriculum | site funds, <br> 1. Develop a year long, standards-based <br> curricular plans, aligning/curriculum with <br> data from benchmark <br> Common Core essential standards and <br> benchmark assessments |
| assessments, curriculum |  |  |  |
| (K-5), dreambox |  |  |  |$\quad$| based measurements |
| :--- |$\quad$| District and |
| :--- |
| Measure D funds |

2. Use assessment to inform instruction and provide summary data. Teachers review student work and assessment results and plan goals for improvement.
3. Updated computer technology and software is available for lab and classroom use to support instruction across the curriculum including math problem solving.
4. a.Common agreed upon procedural vocabulary for teaching mathematical strategies will be used consistently
b.An academic vocabulary program designed to increase student comprehension will be an integral part of the instructional program across the grade levels
5. Field Studies and speakers will enable students to extend classroom learning by relating and applying what they learn in the classroom to the real world.
6. School wide and in grade level teams, intervention strategies will be identified and used to support student achievement.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

1. Flexible groupings of students for targeted instruction
2. ELD student support in the classroom; classroom teachers will utilize SDAIE strategies to help ELD learners access the curriculum.
3. Specialists used for identified students that will support students accessing the core curriculum and learning strategies to be independently successful.
4. Each classroom has weekly access to the computer lab; programs to support standards (Dreambox)

All students have access to math core curriculum and instruction, math experiences are integrated into all other curricular areas.

District funds, donations

Staffing and Professional Development:
1.Grade level PLC meetings will be held throughout the year to plan instruction and evaluate student progress
2. PLC meetings will be structured for year long professional development of effective instructional practice using Gradual Release of Responsibility model. This framework will guide teachers instruction with Focus Lessons, Productive Group Work, and Guided Instruction. Teachers will practice strategies, observe each other, gather data on effect on student performance.
3.Staff will have opportunities to be trained in use of computers and other technology that supports student learning and management of instructional data.
4.Staff will have opportunities to attend workshops and conferences that support improving reading instruction, writing, math, and thinking skills.
Focus areas will be:

- Organized Student Thinking
- Write From The Beginning
- using Nancy Fetzer's strategies in writing
- academic vocabulary
- supporting special needs students including ELD
- effectively analyzing student data/work and using information to plan instruction
- assessment
- learning behaviors and impact on learning
- integrating the arts, social studies, science with language arts
- district sponsored training

5. Training in learning the new Common Core standards and in teaching strategies used in The Single Plan for Student Achievement

Meeting protocols, district math trainings

Professional Tuesdays, District trainings
District trainings

Involvement of staff, parents and community: 1. Goal Setting conferences are held in the fall. Parents, students, and the teacher will develop goals with the student. A goals form will be printed for this conference.
2. Spring student led conferences are held in May and June. Students share their progress in reaching their goals and other academic achievements.
3.District Report Cards based on achieving grade level standards are used to communicate student progress
4.Homework expectations are shared and reviewed with parents at BTSN and during the year. Regular homework is intended to provide students with extra practice and parents with information and examples of what students are learning.
5. Parent access to Dreambox (online math program)
6. Home involvement through EveryDay math curriculum.

Data regarding student use and progress in Dreambox, results from district benchmark assessments

Dreambox, EveryDay $\quad$ District funds, math curriculum

## SMART Goal 3

## Monte Vista Elementary School <br> Plan on a Page <br> Culture and Context <br> 2013-2014

## SMART Goal:

All students will have a safe and respectful learning community, with students following school wide expectations and classroom rules.

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Standards, Assessment, \& Accountability <br> 1. School wide Behavior expectations will be <br> based on Lifeskills and common sense <br> procedures. <br> They are reviewed and modified in August. |  |  |  |
| 2. Each class will develop a behavior |  |  |  |
| management system that promotes the learning |  |  |  |
| of behaviors that support learning and positive |  |  |  |
| social interaction. |  |  |  |
| 3. Learning Behavior Committee will research |  |  |  |
| and share strategies for improving student |  |  |  |
| learning through use of effective behaviors. |  |  |  |
| 4. Behavior Guidelines for lunch, games at |  |  |  |
| recess, lining up will be developed and explicitly |  |  |  |
| taught and reinforced. |  |  |  |
| 5. Opportunities for leadership and service will <br> be developed for 5th graders as part of a <br> Community Service program. |  |  |  |


| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching and Learning <br> 1. School wide behaviors and expectations will be taught, modeled, and reinforced with all students. These expectations will be sent home for discussion and signed and acknowledgement of acceptance returned to school. <br> 2. Classroom rules and behaviors will be communicated to students and parents throughout the year. They will be taught, modeled, and reinforced. <br> 3. Second Step will be used to teach and reinforce appropriate social and learning relationships. <br> 4. All staff including classified and certificated will problem solve using lifeskills as expected behaviors. The 3 step rule and Give Me 5 will be used throughout the school. | Reduction in discipline referrals, decrease in serious violations of school expectations, reduction in incidences of bullying. | Second Step Curriculum, Sensory tools, PE, Lifeskills, computer Lab. | Donations, Measure D funds, District funds |
| Opportunity \& Equal Educational Access <br> 1. Counseling will offer referred students support in learning responsibility, good decision making, peer relationships and support for loss. <br> 2. DIS counseling available on limited basis for student with identified behavior needs. <br> 3. Attendance and Discipline will be monitored. Students with frequent and excessive absences/tardies will work with the principal to develop a plan for improvement. Students who may be required to make up missed time. <br> 4. SST meetings <br> 5. Assemblies focused on positive behaviors <br> 6. Band (5th grade) | All students have access to school activities and extracurricular activities | Staff, Parent community | Donations, District funds |


| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Staffing and Professional Development <br> 1. Learning Behavior committee will present <br> strategies and rationale for targeted areas to <br> staff. | Staff participation in <br> training opportunities | District and site staff | District funds |
| 2. Training for noon supervisors and other <br> classified staff will be offered during the year so <br> that everyone has shared understanding of rules <br> and procedures. |  |  |  |

## SMART Goal 4

## Monte Vista Elementary School Plan on a Page

2013-2014
SMART Goal:

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## SMART Goal 5

## Monte Vista Elementary School Plan on a Page

2013-2014
SMART Goal:

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Part Five: Conduct Advisory Committee Review and Certification

School plans must be developed with the review, certification, and advice of any applicable school advisory committees. Meeting agendas and minutes should reflect the processes for stakeholder input and review the culminated in certification.

School districts must assure that SSCs have developed and approved the SPSA for schools participating in programs funded through the ConApp process and any other school program they choose to include

## Form C: Programs Included in this Plan

Check the box for each state and federal categorical program in which the school participates and, if applicable, enter amounts allocated. (The plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal categorical program in which the school participates. If the school receives funding, then the plan must include the proposed expenditures.)

|  | State Programs | Allocation |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| [ ] | California School Age Families Education <br> Purpose: Assist expectant and parenting students succeed in school. | $\$$ |
| [X] | Economic Impact Aid/ State Compensatory Education <br> Purpose: Help educationally disadvantaged students succeed in the regular program. | $\$$ |
| [X] | Economic Impact Aid/ English Learner Program <br> Purpose: Develop fluency in English and academic proficiency of English learners | $\$$ |
| [ ] | High Priority Schools Grant Program <br> Purpose: Assist schools in meeting academic growth targets. | $\$$ |
|  | Instructional Time and Staff Development Reform <br> [ | Purpose: Train classroom personnel to improve student performance in core curriculum <br> areas. |

## APPENDIX

## CRPUSD LEA Plan and Title III Year IV Plan

Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District
2012-2013 Title I and Title III LEA PLAN SUMMARY

## Leadership Commitment

In order to increase achievement and retain students, we will enact evidence-based instructional strategies to support and engage all learners, implement $K$ - 12 curricula aligned to the Common Core Standards and calibrated to the rigor of state and national measures, and use formative and summative assessments for ongoing monitoring of students' growth.

Required State of California and Federal Goals:
Goals 1A \& 1B Improve Proficiency in English Language Arts and Mathematics
Goal 2A: Increase Annual Progress in Learning English
Goal 2B: Increase English Proficiency
Goal 2C: Increase Academic Proficiency of English Language Subgroup
Strategy: Implement Evidence-Based Instruction Practices

Improved consistency of target instructional practices system-wide will improve student performance, resulting in increased achievement because all students will have accesses to effective instructional practices.

| Action Steps |  | Proposed Expenditure | Proposed Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Development of Systemwide Instructional Practices |  |  |  |
| Site leadership teams participate in Sonoma Leadership Network (SLN) training | SLN registration for 35 participants | \$15,000.00 | Title I |
|  | Substitute costs | \$20,125.00 | Title II |
| Teacher trainers conduct training in gradual release of responsibility, active student engagement, Organizing Student Thinking and English learner support strategies. | Estimated participation $=40$ teachers per training with three days total planned for training. | \$13,800.00 | Title III |
| Sites develop agreements regarding instructional practices and conduct implementation trials that include observation and coaching to support practice | Plans to be determined. | TBD | TBD |
| (2) Alignment of Curriculum and Assessment |  |  |  |


| Align District Benchmarks and Instructional Pacing to <br> Rigor of State Standards and CSTS. | Estimated costs for 20 teachers for 2 days of substitute <br> time. | $\$ 4,600.00$ | Title I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Complete Curriculum Articulation to Ensure Consistency <br> and Coherence from Kindergarten to $122^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Estimated costs for 24 teachers for four days of <br> substitute time. | $\$ 11,040.00$ | Title II |

## Strategy: Assessment and Progress Monitoring

Grade level teams and the secondary English language arts and mathematics departments will develop, administer, and analyze common formative and summative assessments that are aligned with actual instruction to measure student skill, knowledge, and growth for purposes of progress monitoring and instructional calibration.

| Action Steps |  | Proposed Expenditure | Proposed Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Data Analysis and Planning through Collaboration Time |  |  |  |
| Provide teacher training in Illuminate system. | Estimated costs for 25 teachers for one day of substitute time. | \$3,000.00 | Title II |
| Provide teachers with web cameras to enable immediate data collection and reporting using the Illuminate GradeCam software. | Estimated costs for 300 cameras at \$35/camera. | \$10,500.00 | Title I |
| Provide teachers with release time for data analysis and collaborative planning. | Estimated costs for 30 teachers with five days of substitute time. | \$17,250.00 | Title I |
| (2) Administer and Analyze Diagnostic State Tests |  |  |  |
| Students in grades 2-10 will participate in a CST or CAHSEE diagnostic test six weeks prior to the state testing windows. Grade level teams will meet to review test data and to plan targeted instruction for students to ensure proficiency. |  | NA | NA |

## Strategy: Improve Teaching and Learning In ELD

Consistent, system-wide implementation of evidence-based ELD instructional practices, materials, and assessment will increase the achievement of English language learners.
The Single Plan for Student Achievement

| Action Steps |  | Proposed Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding <br> Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Audit ELD Implementation |  |  |  |
| Audit English language development curriculum, schedules, and instructional practices to ensure consistency and coherence across the district. | Teacher teams to meet for horizontal and vertical articulation using substitute time. Specific plans to be determined. | TBD | TBD |
| Principals and teacher leaders will conduct walk-through observations to examine consistency of program implementation. | Teacher leaders from the ELPD and Advanced ELPD will provide training and support at their sites. Principals will guide planning and observation. | NA | NA |
| (2) Engaged, Structured Academic Talk |  |  |  |
| Teachers will provide multiple opportunities for student conversations on academically relevant topics, structuring tasks so that ELs are engaged with native speakers for extended discussion. Structured, collaborative groups will be used. | Teacher leaders from the ELPD and Advanced ELPD will provide training and support at their sites. Principals will guide planning and observation. | NA | NA |
| (3) Systematic Assessment of Students | Progress monitoring assessments |  |  |
| Teachers will use formative and summative assessments to determine student mastery of ELD standards for purposes of progress monitoring and instructional calibration. | Estimated cost for purchase of ELD assessment materials and substitute time for progress monitoring. | 10,000 | Title III |

Required State of California and Federal Goals:
Goal 2E: Increase Parent and Community Participation
Most of the action steps below are from the last Title III and LEA Plans and the Leadership and Learning structures implemented in the district this year. A few items were added as a result of ideas presented at the Board of Trustees Conversation Meeting on December 6, 2011.

| Action Steps | Estimated cost to support a period of a teacher with <br> marketing background working on special assignment to <br> coordinate district outreach efforts and K-12 <br> connections, using RCHS Media House and SSU support. |  |  |  | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Marketing Model | Estimated substitute costs for 40 teachers for four days. | \$18,400 | Title I |  |  |  |
| Educational Summit | Ongoing | Program provided through Community Action <br> Partnership at no cost to district. |  |  |  |  |
| Superintendent's Council | Plans to be determined through consultation with YMCA <br> and/or SSU | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| Preschool Parent Education (Avance) | Plans in process - Connections calendar under <br> development and a standing item at Administrative <br> Council meetings | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| Sliding Fee Preschool Program (4 year olds) | Ongoing - Website development, ABI, Etc. | NA |  |  |  |  |
| HS Student Leadership and Programs to Elementary <br> and Middle School Sites Pathways Development and <br> Outreach Events | Ongoing position to support home-school <br> communication | TBD | TBD |  |  |  |
| Technology Enhanced Communication | Plans to be determined. | Varies | Varies |  |  |  |
| District Translation Services | Currently researching partnership options for <br> development. Have partnered with YMCA and Boys and <br> Girls Club for 21st Century Grant Application. | TBD | NA |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten Intake and Orientation |  <br> ASES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br> enrichment programs for before and after school, <br> including HW Club |  | NA |  |  |  |  |


| Student Led Site Visits | Students to provide interested parents with tours of <br> their schools as part of district outreach efforts. | NA | NA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Required State of California and Federal Goals:

## Goal 3: Highly Qualified Teachers

All students in the district will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

| Action Steps | Title II, Level C District Compliance Plan in process | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fully Credentialed, HQ Teachers | Title II |  |  |

Required State of California and Federal Goals:
Goal 5 A: Increase Graduation Rates \& Goal 5B Decrease Drop Out Rates
Strategy: Academic Support Classes for Acceleration
Students who are struggling in core English language arts or mathematics courses will receive support class to ensure they have prerequisite skills to demonstrate proficiency in each content area.

| Action Steps |  | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use Assessment Data to Identify Students | Estimated cost for the purchase of curriculum <br> materials. | $\$ 27,000$ | Title III |
| Identify and Purchase Academic Support Curriculum | Estimated costs for the proposed sections. | $\$ 40,000$ | Not funded |
| Add 2 Academic Support Sections at RCHS | Estimated costs for the proposed sections. | $\$ 40,000$ | Not funded |
| Add 2 Academic Support Sections at LJMS |  |  |  |

## Goal 5C: Increase Enrollment in AP Classes

## Strategy: Outreach and Education

Provide parent and student education and outreach to ensure familiarity with Advanced Placement program options at our high schools.

## Strategy: Use Academies to Promote Advanced Study

Use emerging high school academies model to assist students, and English language learners in particular, in pursuing advanced study in fields of specific interest.

| Action Steps | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provide parent and student education through evening <br> events, the middle and high school guidance programs and <br> one-one outreach to ensure knowledge of AP offerings. | Plans to be determined. | NA | NA |
| Integrate AP in each Secondary Academy Outcomes | Plans to be determined. | NA | NA |

