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The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students to the level of performance goals established under the California Academic Performance Index. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the School and Library Improvement Block Grant, the Pupil Retention Block Grant, the Consolidated Application, and NCLB Program Improvement into the Single Plan for Student Achievement.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Amy Goodwin
Position:
Principal
Telephone Number:
707-792-4800
Address:
7165 Burton Avenue
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
E-mail Address: amy_goodwin@crpusd.org

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the School Plan November 12, 2013.

## Part One: Analysis of Verifiable State Data, including the API, AYP, and the California English Language Development Test

The purpose of this section is to gather data about your school right now. You then use that information to determine goals and actions. You can include a school profile which gives background about your school and provides context for the plan.

## The School Profile

Technology Middle School was established in 2013 as a neighborhood school serving 330 sixth and seventh graders. As a new school, we are focused on educating our students in 21st century skills: critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, and communication. Our school culture is evolving as we address the social-emotional needs of our students by building a student-centered culture with academic rigor, real-world relevance, and active learning. Our students use technology not only for information, but as a tool for problem solving and connecting to the global community. Through the use of project based learning and an emphasis on math and science, our students experience real-world applied learning that will prepare them for high school and beyond as productive members of society. Our motto: Learn anywhere, learn anytime is supported by our 1:1 goal for students to use their own device to support learning across all academic areas. Our student population is comprised of $22 \%$ EL students, $73 \%$ socio-economically disadvantaged students, $49.2 \%$ white, 42.2 Hispanic, and 8.6 other. Six languages are represented among our English learners with Spanish being the predominate language.

## Data Collection and Analysis

Review your student achievement data, and other sources of information regarding current conditions. This step is IMPORTANT! Review data with key stakeholders including teachers, Leadership Team, Site Council, ELAC, etc. Your goals and actions should be based on an analysis of student achievement data.

For the plan, provide charts of student achievement provided by Key Data Systems and Illuminate from the CST, CELDT, CAHSEE (as appropriate).

Table 1: Academic Performance Index by Student Group

| PROFICIENCY LEVEL | API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  | White |  |  | African-American |  |  | Asian |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Number Included |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Growth API |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Base API |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Target |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Growth |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Met Target |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| PROFICIENCY LEVEL | API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic |  |  | English Learners |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Number Included |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Growth API |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Base API |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Target |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Growth |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Met Target |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 2 - Title III Accountability (School Data)

| AMAO 1 | Annual Growth |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| Number of Annual Testers | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Percent with Prior Year Data | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Number in Cohort | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Number Met | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Percent Met | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| NCLB Target | 54.6 | 56.0 | 57.5 |
| Met Target | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |


| AMAO 2 | Attaining English Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 |  | 2011-12 |  | 2012-13 |  |
|  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  |
|  | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More |
| Number in Cohort | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Number Met | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Percent Met | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| NCLB Target | 18.7 | 43.2 | 20.1 | 45.1 | 21.4 | 47.0 |
| Met Target | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |


| AMAO 3 | Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| English-Language Arts |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | N/A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above |  |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Mathematics | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Met Participation Rate | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above |  | A |  |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 3 - Title III Accountability (District Data)

| AMAO 1 | Annual Growth |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| Number of Annual Testers | 905 | 900 | 867 |
| Percent with Prior Year Data | 99.9 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
| Number in Cohort | 904 | 893 | 867 |
| Number Met | 549 | 561 | 491 |
| Percent Met | 60.7 | 62.8 | 56.6 |
| NCLB Target | 54.6 | 56.0 | 57.5 |
| Met Target | Yes | Yes | No |


| AMAO 2 | Attaining English Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 |  | 2011-12 |  | 2012-13 |  |
|  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  | Years of EL instruction |  |
|  | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More | Less Than 5 | 5 Or More |
| Number in Cohort | 681 | 399 | 684 | 395 | 724 | 384 |
| Number Met | 157 | 225 | 169 | 213 | 162 | 191 |
| Percent Met | 23.1 | 56.4 | 24.7 | 53.9 | 22.4 | 49.7 |
| NCLB Target | 18.7 | 43.2 | 20.1 | 45.1 | 21.4 | 47.0 |
| Met Target | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| AMAO 3 | Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ |
| English-Language Arts |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | No | No |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |
| Met Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient or Above | No | No | No |
| Met Target for AMAO 3 | No | No | No |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 4: English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  | White |  |  | African-American |  |  | Asian |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | N/A | N/A | ? |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Number At or Above Proficient |  |  | 142 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Percent At or Above Proficient |  |  | 57.7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 |
| Met AYP Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic |  |  | English Learners |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Number At or Above Proficient |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Percent At or Above Proficient |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 | 67.6 | 78.4 | 89.2 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 88.9 |
| Met AYP Criteria |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 5: Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  |  | White |  |  | African-American |  |  | Asian |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number At or Above Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | N/A | N/A | 48.7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 |
| Met AYP Criteria | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| AYP <br> PROFICIENCY LEVEL | MATHEMATICS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic |  |  | English <br> Learners |  |  | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  |  | Students with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Participation Rate | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number At or Above Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Percent At or Above Proficient | N/A | N/A | 48.7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AYP Target: ES/MS | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 | 68.5 | 79.0 | 89.5 |
| AYP Target: HS | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 88.7 |
| Met AYP Criteria | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Table 6: California English Language Development (CELDT Annual Assessment) Data

| Grade | 2012-13 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advanced |  | Early Advanced |  | Intermediate |  | Early Intermediate |  | Beginning |  | Number Tested |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |
| 6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## School and Student Performance Data

Table 7: California English Language Development (CELDT All Assessment) Data

| Grade | 2012-13 CELDT (All Assessment) Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advanced |  | Early Advanced |  | Intermediate |  | Early Intermediate |  | Beginning |  | Number Tested |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# |
| 6 | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| 7 | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |

## Data Collection and Analysis (Continued)

Plans must include analysis of school progress on the AYP and AMAOs for Title III.
You can include other district/school assessment data.
You can include student attendance and discipline data
You can include a summary of your Healthy Kids Survey
Provide a brief, written analysis based on the data you provided.
You can provide a brief summary of strengths and gaps in performance in ELA and math for the school as a whole and for any significant trends identified for grade levels or subgroups. This can be based on discussion with stakeholders regarding data analysis.
In ELA $17 \%$ of our students scored FBB On last year's CST. They were assessed for eligibility to participate in the Language! intervention program. As a result $13 \%$ of our students participate in the program which addresses the needs of students who are 2-4 years below grade level in reading.
All of our EL students were given the CELDT assessment. The unofficial scores indicate that $51 \%$ of the EL students are at the Intermediate level over all.

## Include a brief summary analysis statement.

This statement summarizes the conclusions reached about student performance.
There are a number of students who are Intermediate on CELDT and BASIC on CST. These students need to be identified and targeted for support.

## California Standards Test

All Students
English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 53.4 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | 62 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## All Students

## Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | 42 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | 39.4 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level <br> General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | \% Basic |  |  | \% Below Basic |  |  | \% Far Below Basic |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## African American Students

## English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## African American Students <br> Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## Asian Students

English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Asian Students

Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## Hispanic/Latino Students

English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hispanic/Latino Students

## Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## White Students

## English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

White Students

## Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2012-13 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## English Learner Students <br> English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English Learner Students <br> Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level <br> General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## California Standards Test

## Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students

## English-Language Arts

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level English-Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Students Mathematics

| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 6 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| Grade 7 |  |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level General Mathematics (Grades 6 \& 7 Standards) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Algebra II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level | Performance Data by Grade Level Summative High School Mathematics (Grades 9-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% At or Above Proficient |  |  | Mean Scale Score |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Part Two: Addressing the Performance Gaps

The purpose of this section is to set priorities and specific goals. Your priorities are based upon the synthesis you developed above. Your goals flow from these priorities.

Note: CA regulations state that the SPSA must address how funds will be used to "improve the academic performance of all students to the level of the performance goals, as established by the API." Also, regulations state that "The SPSA must align with the local educational agency (LEA)."

## Select Priorities

Based upon analysis of data, prior school goals and district goals, set priorities or focus areas for your plan.
Using the limited data that we have as a new school, our priorities are to support student achievement in all academic areas and continue to attract students to our school with our innovative programs. These priorities are consistent with the District goals: 1) Increase student achievement; and 2) Increase student enrollment.

## Write/Revise School SMART Goals

School goals flow from your priorities and should be attainable in the period specified in the plan, specific to the student participants and measurable. Goals should be listed in SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely) format but also align to the outcomes expected under the state and federal accountability model.
Even though the state and federal accountability model is currently in flux, our goals will address the numerically significant subgroups for our student population: Hispanic, socio-economically disadvantaged, and English Language Learners. Our goals will address English Language Arts, math, and culture/climate.

## Part Three: Analysis of Proven or Promising Strategies

While the justification for your activities need not be written into your plan, best, evidence-based practices should be reflected in your action plan and activities you pursue. Once a goal for student achievement has been identified, the leadership team needs to determine how to reach that goal. Choose specific strategies that are likely to work and align to the district's Local Education Agency Plan as well. Be deliberate in what strategies you choose. Consider:

- Did it work for a similar school?
- When do you expect to see results?
- Can you explain why you expect it to work?
- What will you do to ensure that it works?
- At what point will you determine it isn't working and stop doing it?
- Identify current successful practices in the school and district by looking at data, talking to colleagues, and seeking input from such professionals as curriculum specialists.

| Resource | Web Address |
| :--- | :--- |
| Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) | http://www.ascd.org/portal/site/ascd/index.jsp/ |
| Consortium for Policy Research in Education (CPRE) | http://www.cpre.org/ |
| ED.gov | http://www.ed.gov/help/site/expsearch/index.html?src=In |
| Education Commission of the States | http://www.ecs.org/default.asp |
| Educational Resource Information Center | http://www.eric.ed.gov/ |
| Healthy Kids Resource Center | http://www.californiahealthykids.org/c/@U82gtJCqJSte6/Pages <br> /index.html |
| Just for the Kids - California | http://www.just4kids.org/bestpractice/study_framework.cfm?s <br> ub=state\&study=californiaa |
| Just for the Kids - California School Data | http://www.jftk-ca.org/ |
| National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) | http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/ |
| School Matters A Service of Standard \& Poors | http://www.schoolmatters.com/ |
| What Works Clearinghouse | http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/ |

## Part Four: Complete Action Plans for each SMART Goal

Use the attached templates to complete your action plans.

## SMART Goal 1

## Technology Middle School Plan on a Page <br> Student Achievement in Reading Language Arts 2013-2014

## SMART Goal:

Students at Technology Middle School will increase the rate of proficiency with each district benchmark administered. Orange Benchmark data will serve as the baseline, with a $2 \%$ increase in proficiency expected with each subsequent benchmark. When the comprehensive blue benchmark is administered in spring 2014, the goal is to have $60 \%$ proficient or advanced.

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade level teams will collaborate to develop lessons/units addressing the common core state standards (CCSS). | Sample lessons; student work | Common Core <br> Curriculum Maps; Navigating Implementation of the Common Core State Standards; on-line resources; time on early release days for teacher collaboration | District funding, Measure D, donations |
| The collaboration rubric will be taught in all language arts classes and then be used schoolwide. | Use of the rubric for student self-assessment and teacher assessment | Collaboration rubric; BIE website | District funding, Measure D, donations |
| One close reading experience will be provided at least once a week. | Articles read by students indicating use of the close reading strategies; student writings that show understanding of the material read | AVID articles; speeches; poems; excerpts from primary source materials in the social studies book | District funding, Measure D, donations |
| Teachers will analyze benchmark data to determine areas where re-teaching is required. | Benchmark results; grade level plan for reteaching; common assessment to determine proficiency on retaught standards | Benchmark assessments, item bank for assessments in Illuminate to create common assessments; time on early release days to analyze data | District funding, Measure D, donations |
| Intervention: Assess students for eligibility to participate in the intervention program: Language! | Comparison of baseline data to formative assessments included in the program | Learning Center staff; time to assess, analyze results, and place students accordingly; KAM consulting | District funding, Measure D, donations |
| Intervention: Implement SIPPS for students needing skills with multi-syllabic words | Increase in students' ability to analyze multisyllabic words using the phonics screener | SIPPS Program | District funding, Measure D, donations |


| Use of DAZE school-wide in sixth grade to <br> measure increased comprehension over time | Data from DIBELS <br> website | KAM Consulting; DIBELS <br> website | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| After School Program will support homework <br> completion along with fluency practice (Read <br> Naturally) and comprehension exercises. | Observation of students <br> being focused and <br> productive; increase in <br> student homework <br> completion; improved <br> grades | Read Naturally, non- <br> fiction expository pieces, <br> parent volunteers | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |

## SMART Goal 2

## Technology Middle School <br> Plan on a Page <br> Student Achievement in Mathematics <br> 2013-2014

## SMART Goal:

Students at Technology Middle School will increase the rate of proficiency with each district benchmark administered. Orange Benchmark data will serve as the baseline, with a $2 \%$ increase in proficiency expected with each subsequent benchmark. Even though each benchmark measures progress on a different set of standards, an increase in the percent proficient is expected as students become more familiar with test questions aligned to CCSS. When the comprehensive blue benchmark is administered in spring 2014, the goal is to have $60 \%$ proficient or advanced.

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teachers will align curriculum materials to <br> pacing guides which are aligned to Benchmarks <br> and develop lessons/units aligned to CCSS. | Increased levels of <br> performance on the <br> district benchmarks; <br> student work that <br> provides evidence that <br> students are required to <br> explain their thinking | Time on early release <br> days to collaborate; <br> Lawrence Jones Math <br> Department; release <br> time to analyze <br> benchmarks and provide <br> input with Illuminate <br> consultant | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |
| Provide professional development in CCSS <br> strategies and math practices. | Direct instruction of the <br> math practices; student <br> work that reflects use of <br> the math practices | Time in August for <br> professional <br> development; follow up <br> on early release days for <br> teacher collaboration | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |
| Grade levels will analyze benchmark results and <br> collaborate as a team in terms of re-teaching <br> and developing a common assessment. | Results of common <br> assessments developed <br> and administered at <br> each grade level | Time on early release <br> Wednesdays for grade <br> level teams to work as a <br> PLC | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |
| After School Program will support homework <br> completion and target students' specific deficit <br> areas by using Kahn Academy, Sumdog, etc. | Improved math grades | Computers, math games, <br> math manipulatives | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |
| Math Lunch Club twice weekly will provide <br> support for homework completion a well as <br> opportunities for re-teaching. | Improved math grades | math materials | District funding, <br> Measure D, <br> donations |

## SMART Goal 3

| Technology Middle School <br> Plan on a Page <br> Culture and Context <br> 2013-2014 |
| :--- | :--- |
| SMART Goal: |
| Create and maintain a positive, student centered school culture based on the four pillars: Compassion; Curiosity; |
| Determination; Integrity. |
| Currently, 11 students have no merits and 45 students are under 70 merits which means 17.2\% of the student |
| population is ineligible for participation in school activities. The goal is to reduce the number of ineligible students to $5 \%$. |
| Since the beginning of school, there have been a total of 326 office referrals, with an average of 126 per month. The |
| goal is to reduce the monthly average by $30 \%$. |


| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leadership Team will create a plan to teach <br> students the four pillars. The plan will include <br> activities to help students understand the <br> meaning of each pillar and relate that to their <br> own actions as members of our school <br> community. | Fewer office referrals, <br> fewer students ineligible <br> for school activities, <br> more students with 120 <br> or above | Tribes for Middle School, <br> ideas from Leadership <br> Team, L binder of <br> activities | District funding, <br> fundraising <br> account, PTA, <br> donations |
| Lunch Clubs - Develop clubs based on student <br> interests. Interact Club, Chess Club, Games <br> Club, Minecraft Club, Karaoke Club, etc. | Reduced number of <br> incidents at lunch; <br> increased student <br> involvement as <br> measured by number of <br> kids who participate | Teachers willing to <br> supervise clubs | District funding, <br> fundraising <br> account, PTA, <br> donations |
| Music on Fridays - Reward for keeping campus <br> clean during lunch | Decreased trash at lunch <br> and break | speaker system, play lists <br> developed by Leadership <br> class | District funding, <br> fundraising <br> account, PTA, <br> donations |
| Intramural sports at lunch | Reduced number of <br> incidents at lunch | Funding for intramural <br> doordinator, soccer balls, <br> basketballs, other <br> athletic equipment as <br> needed | District funding, <br> fundraising <br> account, PTA, <br> donations |
| Participation in league sports | Number of students who <br> try out for teams, <br> attendance at home <br> games, active <br> participation at pep <br> rallies | Athletic Director, <br> coaches, MSABC | MSABC, district <br> funding, <br> donations |


| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Merit reward activities to recognize students <br> above the 120 and 150 levels in merits | Increase the number of <br> students in these <br> categories | Parent volunteers, <br> prizes, treats, etc. | District funding, <br> fundraising <br> account, PTA, <br> donations |
| Recognition assemblies | increased academic <br> achievement; reduced <br> number of referrals | certificates, prizes, <br> trophies, etc. | District funding, <br> fundraising <br> account, PTA, <br> donations |

## SMART Goal 4

## Technology Middle School <br> Plan on a Page

2013-2014
SMART Goal:

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## SMART Goal 5

## Technology Middle School <br> Plan on a Page

2013-2014
SMART Goal:

| Actions/Strategies | Results Indicators | Resources | Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Part Five: Conduct Advisory Committee Review and Certification

School plans must be developed with the review, certification, and advice of any applicable school advisory committees. Meeting agendas and minutes should reflect the processes for stakeholder input and review the culminated in certification.

School districts must assure that SSCs have developed and approved the SPSA for schools participating in programs funded through the ConApp process and any other school program they choose to include

## Form C: Programs Included in this Plan

Check the box for each state and federal categorical program in which the school participates and, if applicable, enter amounts allocated. (The plan must describe the activities to be conducted at the school for each of the state and federal categorical program in which the school participates. If the school receives funding, then the plan must include the proposed expenditures.)

|  | State Programs | Allocation |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| [ ] | California School Age Families Education <br> Purpose: Assist expectant and parenting students succeed in school. | $\$$ |
| [ ] | Economic Impact Aid/ State Compensatory Education <br> Purpose: Help educationally disadvantaged students succeed in the regular program. | $\$$ |
| [ ] | Economic Impact Aid/ English Learner Program <br> Purpose: Develop fluency in English and academic proficiency of English learners | $\$$ |
| [ ] | High Priority Schools Grant Program <br> Purpose: Assist schools in meeting academic growth targets. | $\$$ |
|  | Instructional Time and Staff Development Reform <br> [ | Purpose: Train classroom personnel to improve student performance in core curriculum <br> areas. |

## APPENDIX

## CRPUSD LEA Plan and Title III Year IV Plan

Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District
2012-2013 Title I and Title III LEA PLAN SUMMARY

## Leadership Commitment

In order to increase achievement and retain students, we will enact evidence-based instructional strategies to support and engage all learners, implement $K$ - 12 curricula aligned to the Common Core Standards and calibrated to the rigor of state and national measures, and use formative and summative assessments for ongoing monitoring of students' growth.

Required State of California and Federal Goals:
Goals 1A \& 1B Improve Proficiency in English Language Arts and Mathematics
Goal 2A: Increase Annual Progress in Learning English
Goal 2B: Increase English Proficiency
Goal 2C: Increase Academic Proficiency of English Language Subgroup
Strategy: Implement Evidence-Based Instruction Practices

Improved consistency of target instructional practices system-wide will improve student performance, resulting in increased achievement because all students will have accesses to effective instructional practices.

| Action Steps |  | Proposed Expenditure | Proposed Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Development of Systemwide Instructional Practices |  |  |  |
| Site leadership teams participate in Sonoma Leadership Network (SLN) training | SLN registration for 35 participants | \$15,000.00 | Title I |
|  | Substitute costs | \$20,125.00 | Title II |
| Teacher trainers conduct training in gradual release of responsibility, active student engagement, Organizing Student Thinking and English learner support strategies. | Estimated participation $=40$ teachers per training with three days total planned for training. | \$13,800.00 | Title III |
| Sites develop agreements regarding instructional practices and conduct implementation trials that include observation and coaching to support practice | Plans to be determined. | TBD | TBD |
| (2) Alignment of Curriculum and Assessment |  |  |  |


| Align District Benchmarks and Instructional Pacing to <br> Rigor of State Standards and CSTS. | Estimated costs for 20 teachers for 2 days of substitute <br> time. | $\$ 4,600.00$ | Title I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Complete Curriculum Articulation to Ensure Consistency <br> and Coherence from Kindergarten to $122^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Estimated costs for 24 teachers for four days of <br> substitute time. | $\$ 11,040.00$ | Title II |

## Strategy: Assessment and Progress Monitoring

Grade level teams and the secondary English language arts and mathematics departments will develop, administer, and analyze common formative and summative assessments that are aligned with actual instruction to measure student skill, knowledge, and growth for purposes of progress monitoring and instructional calibration.

| Action Steps |  | Proposed Expenditure | Proposed Funding Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Data Analysis and Planning through Collaboration Time |  |  |  |
| Provide teacher training in Illuminate system. | Estimated costs for 25 teachers for one day of substitute time. | \$3,000.00 | Title II |
| Provide teachers with web cameras to enable immediate data collection and reporting using the Illuminate GradeCam software. | Estimated costs for 300 cameras at \$35/camera. | \$10,500.00 | Title I |
| Provide teachers with release time for data analysis and collaborative planning. | Estimated costs for 30 teachers with five days of substitute time. | \$17,250.00 | Title I |
| (2) Administer and Analyze Diagnostic State Tests |  |  |  |
| Students in grades 2-10 will participate in a CST or CAHSEE diagnostic test six weeks prior to the state testing windows. Grade level teams will meet to review test data and to plan targeted instruction for students to ensure proficiency. |  | NA | NA |

## Strategy: Improve Teaching and Learning In ELD

Consistent, system-wide implementation of evidence-based ELD instructional practices, materials, and assessment will increase the achievement of English language learners.
The Single Plan for Student Achievement

| Action Steps |  | Proposed Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding <br> Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Audit ELD Implementation |  |  |  |
| Audit English language development curriculum, schedules, and instructional practices to ensure consistency and coherence across the district. | Teacher teams to meet for horizontal and vertical articulation using substitute time. Specific plans to be determined. | TBD | TBD |
| Principals and teacher leaders will conduct walk-through observations to examine consistency of program implementation. | Teacher leaders from the ELPD and Advanced ELPD will provide training and support at their sites. Principals will guide planning and observation. | NA | NA |
| (2) Engaged, Structured Academic Talk |  |  |  |
| Teachers will provide multiple opportunities for student conversations on academically relevant topics, structuring tasks so that ELs are engaged with native speakers for extended discussion. Structured, collaborative groups will be used. | Teacher leaders from the ELPD and Advanced ELPD will provide training and support at their sites. Principals will guide planning and observation. | NA | NA |
| (3) Systematic Assessment of Students | Progress monitoring assessments |  |  |
| Teachers will use formative and summative assessments to determine student mastery of ELD standards for purposes of progress monitoring and instructional calibration. | Estimated cost for purchase of ELD assessment materials and substitute time for progress monitoring. | 10,000 | Title III |

Required State of California and Federal Goals:
Goal 2E: Increase Parent and Community Participation
Most of the action steps below are from the last Title III and LEA Plans and the Leadership and Learning structures implemented in the district this year. A few items were added as a result of ideas presented at the Board of Trustees Conversation Meeting on December 6, 2011.

| Action Steps | Estimated cost to support a period of a teacher with <br> marketing background working on special assignment to <br> coordinate district outreach efforts and K-12 <br> connections, using RCHS Media House and SSU support. |  |  |  | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Marketing Model | Estimated substitute costs for 40 teachers for four days. | \$18,400 | Title I |  |  |  |
| Educational Summit | Ongoing | Program provided through Community Action <br> Partnership at no cost to district. |  |  |  |  |
| Superintendent's Council | Plans to be determined through consultation with YMCA <br> and/or SSU | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| Preschool Parent Education (Avance) | Plans in process - Connections calendar under <br> development and a standing item at Administrative <br> Council meetings | NA | NA |  |  |  |
| Sliding Fee Preschool Program (4 year olds) | Ongoing - Website development, ABI, Etc. | NA |  |  |  |  |
| HS Student Leadership and Programs to Elementary <br> and Middle School Sites Pathways Development and <br> Outreach Events | Ongoing position to support home-school <br> communication | TBD | TBD |  |  |  |
| Technology Enhanced Communication | Plans to be determined. | Varies | Varies |  |  |  |
| District Translation Services | Currently researching partnership options for <br> development. Have partnered with YMCA and Boys and <br> Girls Club for 21st Century Grant Application. | TBD | NA |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten Intake and Orientation |  <br> ASES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br> enrichment programs for before and after school, <br> including HW Club |  | NA |  |  |  |  |


| Student Led Site Visits | Students to provide interested parents with tours of <br> their schools as part of district outreach efforts. | NA | NA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Required State of California and Federal Goals:

## Goal 3: Highly Qualified Teachers

All students in the district will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

| Action Steps | Title II, Level C District Compliance Plan in process | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fully Credentialed, HQ Teachers | Title II |  |  |

Required State of California and Federal Goals:
Goal 5 A: Increase Graduation Rates \& Goal 5B Decrease Drop Out Rates
Strategy: Academic Support Classes for Acceleration
Students who are struggling in core English language arts or mathematics courses will receive support class to ensure they have prerequisite skills to demonstrate proficiency in each content area.

| Action Steps |  | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use Assessment Data to Identify Students | Estimated cost for the purchase of curriculum <br> materials. | $\$ 27,000$ | Title III |
| Identify and Purchase Academic Support Curriculum | Estimated costs for the proposed sections. | $\$ 40,000$ | Not funded |
| Add 2 Academic Support Sections at RCHS | Estimated costs for the proposed sections. | $\$ 40,000$ | Not funded |
| Add 2 Academic Support Sections at LJMS |  |  |  |

## Goal 5C: Increase Enrollment in AP Classes

## Strategy: Outreach and Education

Provide parent and student education and outreach to ensure familiarity with Advanced Placement program options at our high schools.

## Strategy: Use Academies to Promote Advanced Study

Use emerging high school academies model to assist students, and English language learners in particular, in pursuing advanced study in fields of specific interest.

| Action Steps | Proposed <br> Expenditure | Proposed <br> Funding Source |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provide parent and student education through evening <br> events, the middle and high school guidance programs and <br> one-one outreach to ensure knowledge of AP offerings. | Plans to be determined. | NA | NA |
| Integrate AP in each Secondary Academy Outcomes | Plans to be determined. | NA | NA |

